

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,069	02/19/2004	Heribert Bucher	16589-4	1155
7	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
Clifford W. Browning			STOCK JR, GORDON J	
Woodard, Emhardt et al. LLP Bank One Center/Tower, Suite 3700			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
111 Monument Circle			2877	
Indianapolis, I	N 46204-5137			

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,069	BUCHER ET AL	BUCHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gordon J. Stock	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COM .136(a). In no event, however d will apply and will expire SI tte, cause the application to be	MMUNICATION. ar, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final ance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to set of the control of th	awn from considerat						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	are: a) accepted on accepted on a drawing(s) be held in accion is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv fority documents hav au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	al Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) II	nterview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040219. 	8) 5) D	aper No(s)/Mail Date Jotice of Informal Patent Application (F)ther:	PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/784,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Preliminary Amendment received on February 19, 2004 has been entered into the record.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Europe on 8/16/02. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the PCT/EP02/09183 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on February 19, 2004 is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the control system of **claim 19** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

/

Application/Control Number: 10/784,069 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Even though the specification lacks antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter (see above), no where in the specification is there description of position determining geometry or a suggestion of determining geometry from position determination to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention because the specification solely describes determining position of the tool and not anything concerning determining geometries using position determination (page 1, lines 9-20; page 2, lines 18-33; page 3, lines 1-30; Figs. 2-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/784,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 11-14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bucher et al. WO 01/28737—cited by applicant using Bucher et al. (6,597,464) as a translation.

As for **claim 11**, Bucher in a method and device for testing a cutting-edge geometry discloses the following: rotating a rotationally drivable tool (col. 6, lines 42-45); choosing a movement direction (col. 6, lines 65-67; col. 7, lines 1-3); moving the tool in the chosen direction, away from the measuring beam to a measuring position in which the tool is separated from the measuring beam (col. 7, lines 19-22 and lines 30-35); detecting the measuring position (col. 7, lines 30-35); wherein, the tool is positioned in the beam path of the measuring beam before it is moved away from the measuring beam (col. 6, lines 65-67; col. 7, lines 1-3); the measuring position is detected for a position of the tool in which the measuring beam is not interrupted during at least one revolution of the tool (col. 7, lines 19-22 and lines 30-35).

As for **claim 12**, Bucher discloses everything as above (see **claim 11**). In addition, he discloses positioning the tool in the beam path of the measuring beam in such a manner that the measuring beam is interrupted (col. 7, lines 38-40).

As for **claim 13**, Bucher discloses everything as above (see **claim 11**). In addition, he discloses the tool is positioned in the beam path of the measuring beam in such a manner that the measuring beam is periodically interrupted by the rotating tool (Figs. 7-10).

As for claim 14, Bucher discloses everything as above (see claim 11). In addition, the tool is rotated at a predetermined rotational speed (col. 7, line 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/784,069

Art Unit: 2877

As for claim 17, Bucher discloses everything as above (see claim 11). In addition, the tool is moved away from the measuring beam is ended when the measuring position is reached (col. 7, lines 24-26).

As for **claim 18**, Bucher discloses everything as above (see **claim 11**). In addition, he discloses the geometry of the tool is determined from the measuring position (col. 10, lines 48-51).

As for **claim 19**, Bucher discloses everything as above (see **claim 11**). In addition, he discloses a control system (Fig. 1: 16); an optical measuring device (Fig. 1: 10, 12, 14, 16, 18); the control system is programmed (col. 5, lines 30-35).

As for claim 20, Bucher discloses everything as above (see claim 19). In addition, Bucher discloses a transmitter and receiver (Fig. 1: 10 and 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bucher et al. WO 01/28737—cited by applicant using Bucher et al. (6,597,464) as a translation.

As for claim 15, Bucher discloses everything as above (see claim 11). He does not explicitly state that the tool is moved at a predetermined velocity. He does state that the tool's type of movement is necessary to ensure that the test region enters the measuring range (col. 7, lines 10-15). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the tool is

Art Unit: 2877

moved at a predetermined velocity in order to ensure that the test region enters the measuring range.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 11. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 16, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in a method of determining position of a rotationally drivable tool wherein the tool position is determined in dependence on the rotational speed and the movement velocity of the tool, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 16.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 12. disclosure: U.S. Patent 4,966,460 to Kahley

Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
 - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The Art Unit: 2877

form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (571) 273-8300

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-2800 ext 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217/9197 (toll-free).

22

June 22, 2006

gory . Toatley, Jr.

Patent Examiner